Case 3100 ct v 0027/822-JJSW Domcumentt83 Filed 002/22/3/112 Praggel 106111 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

525 Market Street, 17th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2725 Tel: 415.433.0990 Fax: 415.434.1370

Albany • Baltimore • Boston • Chicago • Dallas • Garden City • Houston • Las Vegas • London • Los Angeles • Louisville • McLean Miami • Newark • New York • Orlando • Philadelphia • San Diego • San Francisco • Stamford • Washington, DC • White Plains Affiliates: Berlin • Cologne • Frankfurt • Mexico City • Munich • Paris

www.wilsonelser.com

February 21, 2012

Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Northern District of California Courtroom 11, 19th Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

Re: Parry v. National Seating & Mobility

Case No. 3:10-cv-02782-JSW Our File No.: 11576.00001

Dear Judge White:

I represent Counter-Claim Defendant National Seating & Mobility, Inc. ("NSM") in the above-entitled matter. I write to seek leave to file a sur-reply in response to the Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of [Renewed] Motion for Class Certification filed by Counter-Claimant Michael Parry ("Parry").

Parry has offered extensive evidence and legal argument in his reply briefing that was not raised in his moving papers. Most significantly, Parry has included "surveys" of the law in all 50 states with respect to the class claims in this action (fraud, negligent misrepresentation and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing). Parry claims that these surveys demonstrate that "there are no substantial conflicts of law that would prevent certification here." Parry has also proposed a bifurcated trial for the first time in his reply briefing.

NSM requests the opportunity to respond to this new evidence and argument. Specifically, NSM requests that the Court continue the hearing on this matter for two weeks to March 16, 2012 (or any date thereafter that is convenient for the Court) and that NSM be granted leave to file a sur-reply of up to 15 pages no later than March 2, 2012.

Very truly yours,

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

/s/ Ronald Bushner

Ronald S. Bushner

The Court does not accept letter briefs. Accordingly, the requests set forth herein are denied without prejudice.

February 23, 2012

Mark P. Meuser, Esq. C. Brooks Cutter, Esq. John R. Parker, Jr., Esq.

¹ Parry has curiously also included a survey of breach of contract law the for breach of contract.

aw the farmer of a left to swide claim

835799.1

cc: